APPENDIX C

Transcript of Public Hearing Verbal Comments

At the April 22, 2004 Open Forum Public Hearing for the Interstate 25 Environmental Assessment, a court reporter was provided for the convenience of individuals who preferred to make verbal comments rather than complete a written comment form.

A total of 22 individuals elected to use this method for submitting comments. The attached transcript presents these comments in the order in which they were received.

In Appendix B of this NEPA decision document, under the name of each individual who submitted verbal comments at the hearing, the reader is directed to Appendix C to find written documentation of the verbal comments received.

The names of those who submitted verbal comments, in alphabetical order, are listed below, along with the transcript page numbers at which their comments can be found:

- Baer, Bob (pp. 6-7)
- Bean, Elaine (pp. 4-6)
- Bray, Jean (pp. 38-41)
- Conklin, Richard (pp. 31-33)
- Dolder, Karl (pp. 28-31)
- Emeson, Mark (pp. 19-21)
- Erwin, Chuck (pp. 7-14)
- Finley, Judy (pp. 23- 26)
- Groom, Bill (pp. 14-16)
- Marich, Dale (p. 26)
- Ormsby, Janelle (pp. 16-17)
- Reichert, Don (p. 4)
- Rice-Jones, Judith (pp. 33-38)
- Schooler, Terry (p. 28)
- Sherman, Todd (pp. 17-18)
- Smith, John M. (pp. 3-4)

- Smith, Phyllis (p. 41)
- Strub, Jim (pp. 26-28)
- Whitacre, Fred (pp. 2-3)
- Wilcox, Erna (pp. 21-22)
- Wilcox, Rolland (p. 22)
- Young, Tom (pp. 18-19)

The transcript on page 41 seems to end with the final speaker in mid-thought. This is not a transcription error. The court reporter attests that the comments from the final speaker did indeed trail off, as presented.

I-25 ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT STUDY

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT OPEN FORUM

Le BARON HOTEL

APRIL 22, 2004

PUBLIC OPINION COMMENTS

ORIGINAL

TIM WEST, CSR, CM

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER MANITOU SPRINGS, CO 80829 (719) 685-3783

1	The following are comments given by the
2	public attending the open forum:
3	
4)	MR. FRED WHITACRE: Fred Whitacre, 1205
5	Wood. Well, we live on the north end, and the
6	greatest concern I have is that when people do
7	this, they pay attention to the needs of the
8	north end, the old north end. They didn't last
9	time. They like to say they did.
10	We attended a meeting where they told
11	us how manywhat is it?small percentage of
12	the noise increase there was, and therefore, we
13	were a little nuts to think that we actually
14	heard more noise. It became a huge issue.
15	They put the wall on the west side;
16	didn't put anything on the east side, so I
17	don't know. I think the answer lies, from what
18	I read and hear, in berms, and I hope they'll
19	consider doing proper noise barriers on both
20	sides of the freeway when they increase this.
21	They missed the boat last time.
22	And secondly, yes, I still like a light
23	rail, and I wish they could do something about
24	Powers Boulevard to turn it into a true
25	interstate bypass. I can't imagine this

1	happening, because there's so much business
2	there now; but they have ways. If they could
3	turn that into a bypass, that would be very
4	helpful.
5	And I know I'm going to hear, Well,
6	is this going to mean ten percent? I don't
7	know. People don't know 'til they do it.
8	Thank you.
9	I'm back. I really hope they pay a lot
10	of attention to the most historical area of
11	this town, which is Colorado College, north
12	past Uintah, and maybe all the way up to
13	Jackson, because there's I look at the noise
14	mitigation issues here; seems to be nothing
15	that really covers that area. They covered
16	south through Colorado College from Uintah, to
17	cover the duct area and things like that, but
18	north of Uintah, there really needs to be some
19	attention.
20	That is the most historical area of
21	the city. All houses are turn-of-the-century,
22	before, and in the interest of moving traffic,
23	I think people forgot about that last time.
24	

MR. JOHN M. SMITH: My only comment is,

1 after looking at the noise abatement, you're 2 planning to put things south of Uintah and 3 north of Fillmore. And I happen to live in 4 between those two, and right now, we cannot 5 leave the bedroom windows open at night because 6 of the noise. 7 And so it doesn't look like they're 8 planning on doing anything about the noise, 9 where we live anyway. So I live on Cascade, south of Fillmore and north of Uintah. That's 10 11 the only comment. 12 13 MR. DON REICHERT: Don Reichert, 14 R-E-I-C-H-E-R-T. The only comment I have is, 15 this is a project that needed to be underway 16 about 20 years ago; and with all the fuel taxes 17 we pay, and license fees we pay, there should 18 have been money available if it had been 19 prioritized, and put where it should have been. 20 Elaine Bean, B-E-A-N. 21 MS. ELAINE BEAN: 22 I have several issues that I'm concerned about. 23 First is the -- the Berlin Wall effect of I-25, 24 which is built up off dirt between the east and

west sides of Colorado Springs, between

1 Colorado and Cimarron.

Confluence Park is being built there, and there is also a very good access being planned from the east, but I-25 is acting as a barrier to the park from the west. The City has just put in a bridge over Monument Creek for access from the west, and there is a tunnel right now under I-25 that connects the west to the east.

From comments here today, they're planning on taking that -- that access under I-25 out, and I would suggest that we do everything we can to keep that. That way, people that live on the west side in the neighborhoods can walk under I-25 and reach Confluence Park. And it also allows the arts district to, perhaps, spread to the west side in the future. Okay?

Then, the sound walls, I would really -we would really, as -- I'm a member of the
Downtown Arts District Association, and we
would like to have artistic input into the
sound walls, maybe even to the point of giving
a grant for someone to design them in a more
artistic way, similar to Pueblo's walls.

1 That's about it.

Okay, I just remembered one thing. I'm concerned that the staff of CDOT is closing their minds to this option. They're saying that a tunnel would be cost prohibitive.

However, I think they need to look at the broader picture of the future of Colorado Springs and neighborhood connectivity. Thank you.

MR. BOB BAER: Bob Baer, B-A-E-R.

Concerned about the Marksheffels (sic) area,

Banion (sic) -Lewis Ranch where we're going to

have 200,000 more people in the city in the

next 20 years, 80,000 houses.

Information I've received is that the developer has to deed over the property for a six lane highway parallel Marksheffels Road, and then the county picks it up, or the state picks it up on both ends and dumps it back into I-25, which is a mistake. They should continue it going north, and go in east of Denver into the Denver International Airport area, 'cause as the I-25 is not going to be able to handle that much when you have over 200,000 plus cars

1	in less than 20 years going in.
2	The other factor is that we need
3	drastically need a rapid transit system, and so
4	rather than go with ground surface and a lot of
5	right of ways that need to be bought, and
6	routing changes it impacts, we would be much
7	better off getting above and going with a
8	monorail or a transit system above the traffic.
9	You've got the views to look at, and it would
10	be a successful venture, and it would be very
11	progressive.
12	And the other big factor would be
13	definitely to pick up a passenger rail system
L 4	to go from at least from Pueblo to Colorado
15	Springs to Denver on the existing freight lines
16	that are going to be abandoned in the near
17	future by the freight people.
18	That's about it. Those are the major
19	concerns. The others is environmental impact,
20	which doesn't affect transportation, but it's
21	going to affect housing.
22	
23	MR. CHUCK IRWIN: Chuck Irwin, I-R-W-I-N.
2 4	I've expressed these comments before, but I
25	wanted to make sure they're said again, since I

1	came up, came all this way here.
2	Basically, we need four general
3	purpose lanes in each direction; no HOV, and no
4	other alternate mode of travel. Alternate
5	roads, not modes, that's what we need.
6	The reason for that, especially in rush
7	hour times, that's the time when you need the
8	additional capacity the most. We are
9	experiencing a tremendous amount of congestion,
10	and the analogy I can can use best is the
11	issue of like a heart doctor.
12	If a doctor refused to give needed
13	surgery to the heart patient because it's just
14	going to encourage their continued behavior in
15	high cholesterol drugs I mean, high
16	cholesterol foods and that type of thing, and
17	so he refused to do surgery and the dude died,
18	that's malpractice. That's not serving the
19	patient's needs.
20	By not expanding the highway, and giving
21	congestion to the area because expanding the
22	highway is just going to, quote, create more
23	traffic is committing malpractice with our
24	highway system and our roadway system.
25	What we need to do is create a alternate

freeway to I-25, with I-25 being four lanes each way. Powers Boulevard needs to be the alternate, not Marksheffel.

I remember when I was hearing that we had the first proposed beltway was Circle Drive; then they moved it to Academy; then they moved it to Powers. They keep moving it further and further east, and they never built the beltway. It's time we built the beltway at Powers. If we keep moving it east, we will never build it.

We can talk about a second beltway further from Powers, Banning-Lewis Ranch Parkway, something like that, in addition to Powers being completed as a freeway beltway, but we need to have the freeway beltway.

Having HOV's only during peak periods of time of travel is the worst time to have an HOV lane, just like who would be the worst person to give additional cholesterol to clog the arteries? The person that needs the triple bypass surgery, the person that has restricted flow, that is the worst person you could give additional cholesterol to to clog the arteries.

So -- but that's exactly what we're

TIM WEST, CSR, CM
MANITOU SPRINGS, CO 80829
(719) 685-3783

doing by constricting capacity at the time that we need it the most. And again, I look at -- I look at the amount of vehicles being able to move up and down the freeway rather than the, quote, potential for how many people can use the given lane. Potentially, every car can have five people, but that's not very practical. That's not reality.

Having an HOV lane so that two people per car can go up and down it, or more, the fact is, there's going to be very few people that are using those HOV lanes. And the ones that do use the HOV lanes would have car pooled anyway. Families going to different places, friends going to different places, family night out, friends going to a movie, friends going out to eat, whatever the case may be, they would have used — they would have car pooled anyway without the HOV.

I don't see that the HOV lanes are doing much of anything to encourage car pooling.

I've seen them fail miserably in Denver and many other cities. They just don't work.

They're ghost town lanes that only eat needed capacity. If they were converted into general

1	purpose lanes, you'd have freer flowing traffic
2	for everyone.
3	I am not concerned about the Preble's
4	Meadow Jumping Mouse, or other environmental
5	concerns. We need to put in our freeway.
6	That's a bigger environmental issue than a
7	little bit of fish or little rodent. The
8	freeway is more important. We need to put in
9	the freeway, widen the freeway.
10	We also need to plan for additional
11	freeways; not only Powers, but ones east-west,
12	like Woodmen Road, like Cimarron going through
13	downtown, connecting with U.S. 24 bypass, and
14	continuing out east, eventually connecting to
15	existing U.S. 24.
16	Also, Drennan Road should the
17	right of way should be preserved for freeway.
18	These improvements will get rid of the
19	congestion in the area. We are the most
20	congested city of our size, and it's time that
21	we do something about it.
22	And you will never solve congestion
23	by expanding capacity for non-congested mode.
24	You will not solve congestion by putting in
25	buses, by putting in light rail, by putting in

1	HOV's, or commuter route or bikeways or
2	pathways. Those are not going to solve
3	congestion.
4	What is congested is cars. People
5	say that you if you expand the highway, it's
6	just going to fill up again. Well, not
7	necessarily. Generally what happens, if you
8	have a freeway that experiences congestion,
9	people take the surface streets surrounding the
10	freeway. Then as those streets become
11	congested, they take the minor neighborhood
12	streets and congest those, or put additional
13	traffic through those streets. As freeways are
14	widened, those trips then are transferred back
15	on to the preferred road, which is the freeway.
16	If freeways become congested just due to
17	access capacity, and miraculously, the number
18	of trips increase to fill up the freeway, then
19	why isn't the access capacity in Wyoming on
20	I-80 creating congestion through Wyoming, or
21	I-25 through the Springs at three in the
22	morning? Why isn't that congested?
23	It's because supply of roadway
24	exceeds the demand for the roadway at that

particular time, or that particular location,

and that is the key - to make sure that the -the supply of roadway always exceeds demand,
and then you won't have the congestion. It can
be done if we don't waste money into alternate
modes, and waste time and resources deciding
against projects that really need to be put
through.

If we plan for the future and we put through needed roadway projects, even with neighborhood opposition, then our congestion will decrease. But as long as we focus, or put too much resources on alternate modes of travel, and as long as we listen to neighborhood interests over the needs of mobility, and as long as we don't plan for the right of way for future needed freeways, as long as we continue to do those things, we will be stuck in ever worsening congestion.

It's the squeaky wheel that gets the grease. We need to stop listening to that squeaky wheel, and, rather, listen to and observe what people are using. They are not using transit. Very few people are using transit at all. We need to focus on the mode most used. You will never solve congestion by

1 expanding capacity for the non-congested mode. 2 Isn't going to happen. There is a small vocal minority pushing 3 transit over highways, but there is a large, 5 non-vocal majority who, every day, choose to 6 drive their cars, even though there's a bus 7 that goes right where they want to go. 8 time we listen to that majority. It's time we 9 expand capacity for the mode most used, and 10 neglect the minority modes that are well under 11 five percent of all the trips. 12 We need to solve congestion for the 13 mode that is over 80 percent of all trips, and 14 that's our highways, that's our cars, that's 15 our roadways. That's where our focus has to 16 be, above neighborhood concerns, above trying 17 to decrease vehicle miles traveled. 18 should be secondary. What is primary is 19 expanding capacity for the mode most used. 20 21 MR. BILL GROOM: My wife and I own the 22 property on West Bijou and 7th Street where The 23 Fish Market Restaurant sits on top of the 24 bluff. And our concern, of course, is access

TIM WEST, CSR, CM
MANITOU SPRINGS, CO 80829
(719) 685-3783

from the interstate, as well as from the

1 downtown area across the Bijou Bridge to that 2 restaurant. 3 We don't operate the restaurant. 4 It's been leased on a long-term lease to a 5 national restaurant chain. 6 The restaurant's presently closed for 7 remodeling. I'm not sure just when they're 8 going to get the remodeling done, but I expect 9 them to get started sometime in the next six to 10 eight months, and have the restaurant open 11 within about six months after they start 12 remodeling. 13 So if that Bijou Bridge is, or if the 14 off- ramp and the bridge is closed totally to 15 interstate traffic, our interests would be in 16 making sure that it is the shortest term 17 possible, the closure is as short as possible. 18 And I would imagine that would mean the option 19 that we would prefer is that the bridge would 20 be totally taken down and a new bridge 21 constructed, rather than try to keep that 22 bridge partially open while they go through the 23 partial destruction and the partial 24 reconstruction, in effect, of two bridges 25 So if you're interested in my there.

preference, that would be that preference. 1 2 And the second thing, during that period of time, extremely important is to have 3 4 at least off ramp access coming south on the 5 interstate to be able to get off on Bijou and 6 go west on Bijou, and then to have some way to 7 get off the interstate, at least on -- on Colorado Avenue, so that some kind of an off 8 9 ramp could be built there. They could come off 10 on Colorado and it still wouldn't be terribly 11 inconvenient to get around to the restaurant. 12 And so those are the two concerns -13 as quick as possible, and, you know, try to 14 make sure there is an off ramp coming off the 15 interstate to get onto Bijou westbound, and an 16 off ramp to get off on Colorado westbound there 17 to come around to the restaurant there, that 18 And that's it. way. 19 20 MS. JANELLE ORMSBY: Janelle, 21 J-A-N-E-L-L-E, Ormsby, O-R-M-S-B-Y. I hate the 22 wall because it takes away from visitors coming

TIM WEST, CSR, CM
MANITOU SPRINGS, CO 80829
(719) 685-3783

into Colorado Springs being able to see Pikes

Peak when they go from north to south on the

freeway. Okay? Plus, the wall, since the wall

23

24

1	is up, now all the traffic hits the wall,
2	bounces over the wall, and hits us full bloom
3	at our house in the summertime. We hear
4	nothing but traffic.
5	It isn't so bad in the winter because
6	we have the doors closed. But that's why I
7	don't like the wall. Okay. I've said my ten
8	cents' worth now. Plus, you know, we paid the
9	taxes for it. Why bitch about it now, right?
10	
11)	MR. TODD SHERMAN: Todd Sherman,
12	S-H-E-R-M-A-N. The number one, main problem in
13	traffic in Colorado Springs is coming into
14	Colorado Springs from the north on I-25, and
15	trying to get through Woodmen intersection.
16	The problem is that as soon as you get past the
17	Woodmen intersection and the on ramp, that's a
18	complete bottleneck almost every day; and it
19	often backs up for miles, sometimes to the
20	north gate of the Air Force Academy, which is
21	about seven miles.
22	Let's see how to phrase it politely.
23	It's a waste of time to do anything else to try
24	to alleviate traffic congestion until you fix
25	that problem. The problem can be fixed by

1	immediately adding a third lane, or a fourth
2	lane, even, between Woodmen and Nevada
3	southbound. That pretty much says it.
4	Okay. All other intersection
5	engineering and widening should be secondary to
6	fixing that one main problem, improve traffic
7	flow immeasurably. I think that's it.
8	HOV lanes, most of the cars coming into
9	Colorado Springs in the morning during rush
10	hour have only one occupant. Establishing an
11	HOV lane for just the few cars that have more
12	than one occupant would not help at all. It
13	would just waste a lane that could be used by
14	many cars instead of just a handful of cars.
15	Okay.
16	
17	MR. TOM YOUNG: Tom Young. I think it's
18	important that we go ahead and get these
19	dollars that are allocated now spent on the
20	projects I-25 in Colorado Springsnow
21	because the issues that we're facing aren't
22	solvable by waiting.
23	I think the problems will become
24	greater, and the costs will become greater; and
25	we in southern Colorado have not always had

1	rapid access to the funding, so if we lose this
2	funding now, I'm not sure how long it's going
3	to be before we get these kinds of dollars.
4	And I realize that it doesn't
5	complete everything, but we've at least got to
6	start. Because as we see what's happened with
7	Academy Boulevard, what's already happening
8	with Powers, and the development that's already
9	out to Marksheffel, we're not going to have any
10	limited access, north-south solution probably
11	for the next generation. That's all I have.
12	
13	MR. MARK EMESON: My name is Mark Emeson,
14	E-M-E-S-O-N, and I've been involved in The
15	Drive-In Liquor since 1971. The property has
16	been a liquor store since 1958.
16 17	We have previously been involved with
17	We have previously been involved with
17 18	We have previously been involved with the highway department when they enlarged the
17 18 19	We have previously been involved with the highway department when they enlarged the overpass, or the viaduct over I-25 the last
17 18 19 20	We have previously been involved with the highway department when they enlarged the overpass, or the viaduct over I-25 the last time, and we do have a viaduct over the parking
17 18 19 20 21	We have previously been involved with the highway department when they enlarged the overpass, or the viaduct over I-25 the last time, and we do have a viaduct over the parking lot in front of our store. And now, I
17 18 19 20 21 22	We have previously been involved with the highway department when they enlarged the overpass, or the viaduct over I-25 the last time, and we do have a viaduct over the parking lot in front of our store. And now, I understand that because they want to enlarge

	\cdot
1	there's no reason why we can't remain at the
2	same place with an enlarged viaduct; and I
3	can't quite understand why they're forcing us
4	to move because they want to enlarge the
5	viaduct.
6	Also, I've been following this I-25
7	expansion for the last five to ten years, and
8	it's my opinion that a number of people that
9	were at these meetings talked about an
10	environmental impact study, which, to my
11	knowledge, has never been carried out as of
12	yet.
13	Also, the majority of the people five
14	to ten years ago talked about circumventing the
15	dountour area and making an alternate I OF that
	downtown area and making an alternate I-25 that
16	circumvents Colorado Springs similar to Highway
16 17	
	circumvents Colorado Springs similar to Highway
17	circumvents Colorado Springs similar to Highway 24 that goes up Colorado Avenue, and we have a
17 18	circumvents Colorado Springs similar to Highway 24 that goes up Colorado Avenue, and we have a a alternate Highway 24. This would help in
17 18 19	circumvents Colorado Springs similar to Highway 24 that goes up Colorado Avenue, and we have a a alternate Highway 24. This would help in hazardous waste vehicles traveling through the
17 18 19 20	circumvents Colorado Springs similar to Highway 24 that goes up Colorado Avenue, and we have a a alternate Highway 24. This would help in hazardous waste vehicles traveling through the city.
17 18 19 20 21	circumvents Colorado Springs similar to Highway 24 that goes up Colorado Avenue, and we have a a alternate Highway 24. This would help in hazardous waste vehicles traveling through the city. This would also help enlarged semi-

circumventing the city would be more feasible

1	for vehicles traveling through the city, and
2	eliminating a lot of traffic, and keeping noise
3	levels down.
4	And I just want to register my
5	comment and let the State Highway Department
6	know how a number of people in this town feel -
7	that it's just not good business to cut through
8	the center of town with a large highway such as
9	I-25 with the kind of vehicles that are going
10	to be traversing that road, and could cause a
11	multitude of problems yet to come.
12	If we had some hazardous waste have an
13	accident here, God knows only knows what a
1 4	problem we could have.
15	
16	MS. ERNA WILCOX: Erna Wilcox, E-R-N-A.
17	And, well, my feeling is that I think we should
18	have a road on the east side of town, far east,
19	that goes from a toll type road; and also to
20	get Powers finished so that people can go
21	Powers and not come down I-25. Hopefully that
22	will help.
23	I mean, I know they keep telling us here
2 4	that most of the traffic that's on I-25 comes
25	into the city, but I still think we need

1	something to the east. I think that should be
2	finished.
3	
4	MR. ROLLAND WILCOX: That's my feeling,
5	too, that they should have something that
6	carries, like, trucks and stuff going from
7	Denver to Albuquerque wouldn't be only on I-25.
8	You know, they'd be farther east. And I think
9	that people, to avoid congestion, would use the
10	highway if it wasn't congested like I-25 is
11	between here and Denver.
12	
(13)	MRS. ERNA WILCOX: Well, I will say that
14	the noise barrier they have along I-25 is so
15	low in some places where they the highway is
16	higher, so the noise comes over the wall.
17	Because we live west of the freeway, and up on
18	the hill, so the noise comes up over the wall
19	and we hear it.
20	We can also hear the trains, but those
21	trains have been there a long time, so I don't
22	think they can stop that. But the truck
23	traffic is loud; and sometimes they use those
24	brakes on the trucks, make noise there.
25	But I know people that are along the

freeway there, they say the trucks make an awful lot of noise, because there are places where the wall is low and they made the highway so high.

And then the foliage that they put, we have a lovely path along the west side of the freeway there, and a lot of trees and shrubs.

But they planted those evergreens so close to the wall, they're very, very close to the wall, and seems to me that if they planted a lot of trees that grew up over the wall, that that might help the noise from coming on the freeway and over the hill, because that would make a little bit of noise barrier. Okay?

MS. JUDY FINLEY: My name is Judy Finley, F-I-N-L-E-Y, and I'm especially concerned about two things. One is the Monument Valley Park entry at Bijou Street, which they're going to have to change a little. And I'm concerned that the -- they're going to have to put in a couple of steps and a little retaining wall.

I'm concerned that they redesign that area to match the current rock work at that entryway, and do their best to preserve the

access to the park from that downtown entrance from a visual point of view, as well as from an easy access point of view for bicycles, so they don't have to go up steps. That's my main concern there.

And also I would like to comment on -well, I know they're going to put some noise
walls in around Monument Valley Park. I do
think the noise is probably the most crucial
issue, and I'm not sure that your noise models
really reflect what actually happen to people's
ears in the neighborhoods.

I do live in the north end of town between Uintah and Fontanero, and I think, in the long run, since you're not planning noise walls in that area on the east side of the freeway, that the -- you should really consider -- CDOT should really consider a pilot project using rubberized asphalt pavement materials, which have been used in other parts of the country.

And I know there's a lot of discussion about it right now. I think they should use it as a pilot test project to study the reduction in noise levels; and I understand they're

significant with this kind of rubberized asphalt material.

2.0

The third thing I'm concerned about is Colorado College. I don't see any input here, or any listing of Colorado College as a factor in the noise studies. It is a school, so it would qualify for the interior, the lower decibel level I think is 57, and I'm -- I think CDOT should at least seek input from Colorado College before they do their final report.

Also, I'm reading page 3-70 of your EA, talking about mitigation measures, and it says here, A final decision on the installation of abatement measures will be made upon completion of project design and the public involvement process. During final design, CDOT will take into account the desires of the affected property owners and obtain their further input. So I hope that's actually going to happen, and not just pay lip service to this kind of public event.

Of course, I'm concerned about Monument Valley Park, same kind of thing. I think they're doing pretty well in attempting to mitigate the noise impacts on Monument Valley

1	Park, but I hope they'll continue to get in
2	touch with the people who really are who
3	really use these things, including the bike
4	paths, as the process goes on. I don't know
5	what your deadlines are, but I appreciate any
6	efforts in real communication, and this is one
7	of them, so appreciate that. Thank you.
8	
9	MS. DALE MARICH: Dale Marich,
10	M-A-R-I-C-H. I'm just voting that they start
11	working on the Bijou interchange next. It just
12	that seems like it's a bad problem. I had
13	no idea there was such a complicated process,
14	and just wanted to see what I could do to speed
15	up the process any way. That's all.
16	
17)	MR. JIM STRUB: My name is Jim Strub,
18	S-T-R-U-B. I live on Chelton Road near the
19	intersection of Union and Fillmore. I drive
20	the freeway a lot.
21	I think the whole plan is very well
22	done, well thought out. I think the approach
23	is very sensible, taken all the necessary
24	precautions and assessments. It's long past
25	due. The faster it's finished, the happier

1	we'll all be.
2	I especially like just the driving
3	lanes. I don't really know how useful the HOV
4	lanes will be. I've driven on them in Denver.
5	There is usually hardly anyone on them.
6	If there is the possibility of making
7	them toll lanes so that single occupied
8	vehicles can use them, that might be that
9	might move more traffic than just keeping them
10	for multiple occupancy vehicles.
11	I don't see any need for light rail
12	because I don't think enough people will use it
13	to make it worthwhile. And I'm glad you're
14	also studying bypass to the east, whether it's
15	Marksheffel or a really upgraded Powers
16	Boulevard that goes all the way through, and is
17	limited access. That's a detail. But there
18	needs to be something over there to supplement
19	the interstate.
20	I like the idea of the front range toll
21	road all the way from Pueblo to Fort Collins.
22	I don't know if it's financially feasible.
23	That's something somebody has to study very
24	carefully to assess. But basically, I think

everything is on the right track, and I wish

1 you well, and hope it's done quickly. 2 3 MR. TERRY SCHOOLER: Terry Schooler, 1045 4 Berglind Road, 80920, Colorado Springs. Well, 5 I would say we're long overdue to get the project underway and built in a timely and safe 6 7 fashion, 'cause I think the -- some of the 8 consequences of not building it is that traffic 9 wanders off onto the side roads, basically on 10 the east side to the -- turning off either 11 Uintah or whatever; wanders up through Cascade 12 Avenue, Nevada, so on. 13 Whenever there's a traffic jam, 14 accident, or whatever, I see people do that. 15 do that to avoid the back-up on the interstate. 16 So I think, by the widening project, the 17 traffic will flow better, and probably be less 18 pollution; certainly be quicker. 19 I'm impressed with how much effort the 20 Department has taken for public input and 21 trying to hear and mitigate whatever problems 22 the people have come up with. So let's build 23 it. Thank you. 24 MR. KARL DOLDER: My name's Karl Dolder,

1	spelled with a K, K-A-R-L, D-O-L-D-E-R. Okay,
2	I'm a member of the El Paso County Highway
3	Advisory Commission, so I am somewhat aware of
4	transportation issues in El Paso County. I
5	also travel extensively from, essentially, Fort
6	Lupton, Colorado to Colorado Springs, and
7	sometimes as far south as Pueblo.
8	I drive a lot. I'm an outside sales rep
9	for a concrete products manufacturer, so I go
10	all over in that big range of area, and
11	sometimes I even go to Cheyenne.
12	I feel like I know kind of what works
13	really well and what doesn't, and the current
14	status of HOV lanes, wherein anyone can drive
15	in the HOV lane, whether they're legally
16	allowed to or not, does not work. I find that
17	that's a real aggravation to those of us who
18	are driving and staying within our legal
19	limits.

So if you have what I would call an express lane, then let's make it so that it's somewhat restrictive in access. Let's make it a toll road so that -- like they have on E-470, which works very well. I like that.

And between stations, I would highly

TIM WEST, CSR, CM
MANITOU SPRINGS, CO 80829
(719) 685-3783

recommend that you have a policy so that you can track when a vehicle passes from one point to the next point in so much time, you know whether he's speeded or not, and you can ding him for it. I think that makes a lot better sense on speed control.

2.4

As soon as these guys get first
warnings, and then a small fine, and then a
more severe fine, and potentially revocation of
their pass for speeding, I think that would
help a lot. That's important to me.
Otherwise, those HOV lanes, or what you would
call an express lane, just become kind of a
free ride for anybody who can get away with it;
and I don't like that. To me, it doesn't work
well.

Comments on the North Gate/Powers Road extension, and why didn't it ever go to Interquest Parkway? Seemed kind of odd that it was intended at one time to go to Interquest Parkway. Something changed, and the powers that be seem to want to make it another interchange, which looks terribly complex to me. And I'm afraid it's going to be a real mess, traffic congestion wise, with an

You've got a lot of people in the North

Gate area that are going to want to use the

northern half of that intersection, and it just

seems like that's gotta be looked into again.

interchange so close to North Gate.

I think that's not going to work well.

I like the Fillmore interchange. I think that's going to work well as it's currently worked out. I think that's a good idea. The rest of it, I'm a little pressed for time, so I haven't had a chance to look at the rest of the interchanges, but I would be very much interested in staying in touch with these sorts of things. Thanks.

15

25

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16 MR. RICHARD CONKLIN: Richard Conklin, C-O-N-K-L-I-N. This is always going around, 17 18 and so the thing about the wetlands and how 19 they're going to have 10.2 acres they are going to replace somehow, they don't really say how 20 they're going to save it. I've talked to one 21 22 of the people here, and they've kind of explained how some of this is going to be. 23 Looks like they are going to be doing a pretty 24

TIM WEST, CSR, CM
MANITOU SPRINGS, CO 80829
(719) 685-3783

good job on it from what he explained to me.

1	Looking at some of the other ones,
2	there's one over here showing how they're going
3	to have Bijou raised, and they're going to have
4	to do something with the walkway down there.
5	On their diagram that they have over there,
6	their simulated picture, they just show some
7	steps going up to street level from a sidewalk,
8	and probably to some extent, it's not
9	handicapped accessible, the way they're showing
10	it.
11	So I don't know whether that's something
12	they've already got figured some other way, you
13	know, 20 feet down, or whatever,, to have it
1.4	handicapped accessible, but that's one of the
15	things I noticed.
16	Another comment I got is on HOV lanes;
17	and I have seen them in Chicago and various
18	other cities, and even recently up in the T-Rex
19	project in Denver on the south side. They've
20	had had HOV lanes, and they finally did away
21	with them after they had everything taken care
22	of.
23	For the most part, the HOV lanes seem to

take up space that could have been used by frequent commuters, 'cause there are very few

TIM WEST, CSR, CM
MANITOU SPRINGS, CO 80829
(719) 685-3783

24

1	cars using the HOV lanes. If they just used
2	the additional lane for the regular traffic, it
3	would probably help better than just the HOV
4	lane. That's basically all I've got to say
5	right now.
6	
7	MS. JUDITH RICE-JONES: Judith, last name
8	is hyphenated. It's Rice-Jones. I'd like to
9	first object to the date of this hearing. I
10	think having it on Earth Day was an affront to
11	those people who they knew were concerned about
12	the environment. This is a day with a lot of
13	activities going on, so to schedule it on this
14	day, I think, was really callous. It's
15	unfortunate.
16	And I'd just like to address some
17	concerns about the various areas of the
18	environmental assessment. First, I'd like to
19	address the capacity study that was done that
20	said that transit was not a viable alternative.
21	I'm a faculty member at the university.
22	I frequently travel to Denver and to Boulder.
23	Everyone I know would take mass transit north

24

25

if that were an option. So I $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$ I have serious

reservations about the study that was done that

said that people would not use transit. That's all on that topic.

As an educator, I'm very much concerned about the way that language is used in all of the documents. In the description of the current I-25, it's referred to as a four-lane highway. Anyone who looked at an aerial photo would count six lanes, and I think that calling continuous accel and decel lanes, not counting them as lanes, is an example of bad faith.

I have a lot of various but short comments. One of the -- one of the environmental effects that I don't see addressed at all is the issue of barrier effects. Previously, even when the -- when there was a highway there after 1951, there were two underpasses and an overpass. Those three pedestrian access ways to cross the interstate have been replaced by one extremely high, extremely long pedestrian overpass, thus reducing, considerably, options for pedestrians, cyclists.

Even though those options exist on the roadway bridges, they're not a pleasant alternative for non-motorized mobility. And as

1	far as I could tell, that the barrier
2	effects were not addressed at all.
3	In the same vein, when they talk a
4	neighborhood impacts, they say that becau

In the same vein, when they talk about neighborhood impacts, they say that because I-25 is already there, that there wouldn't be any division of neighborhoods. And I think any logical person would maintain that greatly widening a freeway makes those few access points less inviting for children, for walkers, for motorists, for strollers, for cyclists; so it does, in fact, divide neighborhoods and increase the barrier effect of the interstate.

The EA also says that land uses wouldn't change along I-25, since it's already been there for some 50 years. I would disagree with that, as well. It's clear that the environmental impacts, especially the noise, is going to decrease property values for residential areas along the interstate; and a decrease in property values in the residential areas could very likely lead to a land use change with those residential areas being replaced by commercial areas.

In addressing the parks, they do acknowledge that there will be an increased

1	noise, but they don't think that the impact
2	would be substantial. I think for those of us
3	who are frequent users of the parks, that it's
4	already extremely noisy. The visual impact
5	since the freeway has been raised is
6	considerable, and at some point, and very
7	likely with the expansion of I-25, we're going
8	to reach the tipping point with parks where
9	they'll no longer be inviting, and they'll no
10	longer be used; and so I think the impact on
11	the parks is enormous.

Under visual resources, they maintain that I-25 would become, in their words, more visually apparent. That, also, I think, is a very odd use of English. It's clear that it will be more visually intrusive.

All of the early designers of Colorado Springs talked about how important it was not to separate the city from its setting, and having the interruption of a raised freeway between the community and its setting is definitely a negative impact.

In air quality, they say that because traffic would move at a greater speed, that air quality would not worsen. I think the previous

EA that was never completed in '89 to '91 maintained that additional lanes would attract more cars. There's a great deal of research about what's called generated traffic, and that wasn't addressed at all in the air quality study that was done.

Under the issue of hazardous materials, the only hazardous materials that are addressed are those that are currently there from things like former gasoline stations, but the hazardous materials section did not address at all the fact that I-25 is the designated hazardous materials route, north-south route, and that hazardous materials will be driving through very heavily populated downtown areas. And that, as far as I can tell, was also not addressed at all in the environmental assessment.

I think that -- I think that in conclusion, it's clear, when one looks at the list of environmental impacts, when one looks at the magnitude of what is being proposed, that in this case, an environmental impact statement, an EIS, should have been done. An EA is not sufficient.

1	Breaking the project up into small parts
2	in the effort to avoid an environmental impact
3	statement, I think, is another example of bad
4	faith, and as a citizen, makes me disappointed
5	in my State Department of Transportation.
6	Thank you.
7	
8	MS. JEAN BRAY: Jean, J-E-A-N, Bray,
9	B-R-A-Y. Well, here's the trick. I thought it
10	was fine. I just wish I'd gotten to meetings
11	earlier, but I am a homemaker. But if the
12	meetings were in the daytime back when we
13	started, I was working then, and I wouldn't
14	have had time to attend these meetings.
15	But they interest me because I've lived
16	here since '78, I've lived here all but
17	three years, so this interests me, what's going
18	on here and stuff. I'm glad I don't live down
19	near Monument Valley Park where it's going to
20	get noisier, and the people are going to be
21	more unhappy than already are unhappy with the
22	noise coming from the highway.
23	'Cause it's beautiful homes on the north

end, and the people are not going to be able to

enjoy their homes as much as they have before.

24

1	This is just like in the summer, be out on
2	the front porches and stuff, because it's
3	getting noisier. What else?

I think all the improvements are pretty good. I'm impressed with how few homes or businesses will need to be removed to do the work, and how much it will accomplish with as little being removed as will have to be. So I think it will be a good thing.

It will be interesting to see how well it moves along as far as time wise with the contracts and stuff, how fast they get it done, and how horrible it impacts traffic along the highway as it goes.

But I am a firm believer that the interstate is not meant for us; it's meant for the people going from Denver to Albuquerque.

It's not for us for commuting. It's not a local road, and people should be using, literally, Academy Boulevard, itself between North and South Academy, and Union Boulevard between however far it goes now down to the Martin Luther King Bypass, and things like that, for commuting north and south, versus the interstate; 'cause it's called the interstate,

TIM WEST, CSR, CM
MANITOU SPRINGS, CO 80829
(719) 685-3783

1	not the intertown. How's that for a phrase? I
2	just made up a new phrase.
3	What else? I can't fathom that we need
4	HOV lanes yet; I really can't. But 'cause
5	to me, Denver hasn't had them very long. We're
6	nowhere near the size of Denver, but maybe if
7	we get them now, that will help us keep moving
8	sooner, and not get as congested like it is
9	there.
10	I had a couple questions, and I asked
11	the people along the way, and they answered
12	them; like what does that mean, the line and
13	stuff? What does that mean there? Things like
14	that.
15	And then I had a few other questions,
16	and I borrowed the binder and looked through
17	it, and I found, in the indexes, the questions
18	the questions I had has a title, subtitle.
19	So I looked up the section and
20	discovered what they were talking about over
21	here, 'cause they weren't exactly addressed
22	about archeological andwhat's the other
23	one? paleontology, paleontological. I can't
24	say that one.

Anyhow, I figured out what they were

1	referring to because I had a clue. We have
2	that book about driving in Colorado, seeing
3	what's up on the side of the road, like up by
4	Morrison, where you have the rocks that have
5	the dinosaur bones in it. There's not a whole
6	lot there, really, but I might get out my book
7	and pay more attention in this town.
8	I guess that's it. Like I say, I
9	couldn't have been here until a year and a half
10	ago in January, if these were during the day,
11	so
12	I have a friend that works for this
13	company that's working on this stuff, so he and
14	I just, Hi, nice to see you today.
15	
16)	MS. PHYLLIS SMITH: Phyllis Smith. I'm
17	concerned about westbound going over Fillmore.
18	It always gets back logged, so they really
19	hope they're planning to widen it back a mile
20	or so to allow
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, TIM WEST, Certified Shorthand
4	Reporter and Notary Public within Colorado, contracted
5	to take the oral comments of the public in the open
6	forum, do certify that all comments offered were
7	taken by me at the Le Baron Hotel, Colorado Springs,
8	Colorado, on April 22, 2004; then reduced to
9	typewritten form consisting of 42 pages herein; that
10	the foregoing is a true transcript of all comments
11	made.
12	I further certify that I am not related
13	to any party herein, and have no particular interest
14	in the result of this project.
15	In witness hereof I have hereunto set my
16	hand this 20 ^{TL} day of april , 2004.
17	V
18	Im Wast
19	TIM WEST, RPR, CM Notary Public
20	Notary rabite
21	My commission expires November 7, 2004.
22	
23	
24	
25	